



SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

Update of the 2019 Spatial Development Framework



DRAFT JUNE 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Project Management Team

Urban Dynamics South Cape: Gerhard Swart, Nick van der Merwe

Theewaterskloof Municipality Steering Committee

Ashwille Riddles, Denver Damons, Enrico Shortles, Francois Du Toit, Jacky Smith, Johannes Pienaar, Landile Litholi, Lester Parnell, Warren Moses

Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning

Allan Rhodes, Raudhiyah Dien

External entities

GLS Engineers

INCA Portfolio Managers

Western Cape Department of Education

Western Cape Department of Local Government

Western Cape Department of Economic Development & Tourism

Western Cape Department of Transport & Public Works

Western Cape Department of Agriculture

Cape Nature

Transnet Freight Rail

SANRAL

ESKOM

Department of Rural Development and Land Reform

VPUU

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY UITVOERENDE OPSOMMING

PART 1: MUNICIPAL ANALYSIS

1.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF THE SDF	
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.2	PURPOSE OF AN SDF	1.1
1.3	STRUCTURE OF THE DOCUMENT	1.2
1.4	THE STUDY AREA	1.2
1.5	THE APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	1.3
2.	POLICY CONTEXT	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2.1
2.2	LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT	2.1
2.2.1	Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, 2013	2.1
2.2.2	Municipal Systems Act, 2000	2.2
2.2.3	Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations, 2001	2.2
2.2.4	Statutory Implications	2.3
2.3	PLANNING FRAMEWORK	2.3
2.3.1	National Spatial Development Framework 2050	2.3
2.3.2	National Development Plan 2030	2.4

2.3.3	Integrated Urban Development Framework, 2016	2.4
2.3.4	Western Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework, 2014	2.5
2.3.5	The Overberg District Municipal Spatial Development Framework, 2022	2.7
2.3.6	Greater Cape Metro Regional Spatial Implementation Framework, 2019	2.10
2.3.7	Informants from Adjacent Municipalities	2.10
2.3.8	Local Municipal Planning Informants	2.12
3.	MUNICIPAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT	
3.1	BACKGROUND	3.1
3.2	THE SPATIAL VISION AND OVERARCHING GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	3.1
3.3	OVERARCHING DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT	3.2
3.3.1	Introduction	3.2
3.3.2	General Spatial Planning Principles	3.2
3.3.3	Spatial Development Concept: Regional Level	3.3
3.3.4	Spatial Development Concept: Local Level	3.5
4.	MUNICIPAL ANALYSIS	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4.1
4.2	UNDERSTANDING THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	4.1
4.2.1	Geology and Soils	4.1
4.2.2	Topography and Slopes	4.1
4.2.3	Climate	4.2
4.2.4	Vegetation and Biodiversity	4.2

4.2.5	Water Resources	4.4	4.5.1	Transportation Network	4.20
4.2.6	Drainage	4.5	4.5.2	Freight Transport	4.21
4.2.7	Climate Change	4.5	4.5.3	Public Transport	4.21
4.2.8	Agriculture	4.5	4.5.4	Regional Engineering Infrastructure	4.24
4.2.9	Mining	4.7	4.5.5	Settlements	4.25
4.2.10	Biophysical Environment: Theme Implications	4.7	4.5.6	Built Environment: Theme Implications.....	4.34
4.3	UNDERSTANDING THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	4.8	5.	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT	
4.3.1	Sectoral Linkages across the Overberg District	4.9	5.1	INTRODUCTION	5.1
4.3.2	Agricultural, Forestry and Fishing Sub-sector	4.9	5.2	PSDF: RURAL LAND USE PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES	5.1
4.3.3	Manufacturing	4.10	5.3	THE COMPREHENSIVE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME.....	5.1
4.3.4	Tourism	4.11	5.4	ISSUES.....	5.1
4.3.5	Informal Trading	4.11	5.5	GOAL AND OBJECTIVES	5.2
4.3.6	Transport Sector	4.12	5.6	RURAL LAND USE POLICIES.....	5.2
4.3.7	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Business Service Sub-sector	4.12	5.7	RURAL GROWTH NODES (RURAL DEVELOPMENT AREAS).....	5.8
4.4	THE POPULATION	4.13	5.7.1	Background.....	5.8
4.4.1	Employment Growth	4.13	5.7.2	Strategies: Rural Development Areas	5.8
4.4.2	Unemployment	4.13	5.8	OVERBERG DISTRICT: RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN.....	5.13
4.4.3	Demographics	4.14	5.9	AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT.....	5.13
4.4.4	Household Income and Poverty Levels	4.17	5.9.1	Background	5.13
4.4.5	Education Statistics	4.18	5.9.2	Key Issues.....	5.14
4.4.6	Learner Transport	4.18	5.9.3	Farming Areas.....	5.14
4.4.7	Health Statistics	4.18	5.9.4	Green Industries.....	5.14
4.4.8	Socio-Economic Environment: Theme Implications	4.19	5.9.5	Prime and Unique Agricultural Land.....	5.15
4.5	UNDERSTANDING THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT	4.20			

5.10	FORESTRY AREAS	5.15
5.10.1	Introduction.....	5.15
5.10.2	Strategies: MTO Forestry Areas.....	5.15

PART 2: SETTLEMENTS ANALYSIS

6.	CALEDON	
6.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	6.1
6.1.1	Overview.....	6.1
6.1.2	Historic Background.....	6.2
6.1.3	Settlement Analysis	6.2
6.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	6.2
6.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	6.2
6.2	PROPOSALS	6.5
6.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	6.5
6.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	6.7
6.2.3	Urban Edge	6.8
6.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
	AGRICULTURE	6.8
6.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	6.9
6.4.1	Industrial Development (Business Services, Light Industry and Industry)	6.9
6.4.2	Tourism	6.9
6.4.3	Economic Development	6.10
6.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	6.11

6.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	6.11
6.5.2	Residential	6.11
6.5.3	Mixed Use Development	6.12
6.5.4	Cemeteries	6.12
6.5.5	Social Facilities	6.12
6.5.6	Densification and Development of Vacant Land	6.13
6.5.7	Heritage	6.15
6.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	6.16
6.6.1	Spatial Context.....	6.16
6.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	6.17
6.6.3	Infill Development	6.17
6.6.4	Social Integration Spaces	6.17
6.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	6.17
7.	GRABOUW	
7.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	7.1
7.1.1	Overview.....	7.1
7.1.2	Historic Background.....	7.2
7.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	7.2
7.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	7.2
7.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	7.3
7.2	PROPOSALS	7.5
7.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	7.5
7.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	7.7
7.2.3	Urban Edge.....	7.8

7.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	7.8	8.1.2	Historic Background.....	8.2
7.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	7.9	8.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	8.2
7.4.1	Industrial Development.....	7.9	8.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	8.2
7.4.2	Tourism.....	7.9	8.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	8.3
7.4.3	Economic Development	7.9	8.2	PROPOSALS	8.5
7.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	7.10	8.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	8.5
7.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	7.10	8.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	8.7
7.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	7.10	8.2.3	Urban Edge.....	8.8
7.5.2	Residential.....	7.11	8.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	8.8
7.5.3	Cemeteries.....	7.12	8.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	8.9
7.5.4	Social Facilities.....	7.12	8.4.1	Industrial Development (Business Services and Light Industry)	8.9
7.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	7.12	8.4.2	Tourism	8.9
7.5.6	Heritage.....	7.14	8.4.3	Economic Development	8.9
7.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	7.15	8.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	8.10
7.6.1	Spatial Context.....	7.15	8.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	8.10
7.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	7.15	8.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	8.10
7.6.3	Integration Spaces.....	7.15	8.5.2	Residential.....	8.10
7.6.4	Restructuring Zone.....	7.15	8.5.3	Cemeteries.....	8.11
7.6.5	Knoflokskraal Land Invasion.....	7.15	8.5.4	Social Facilities.....	8.11
7.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	7.16	8.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	8.11
8.	VILLIERSDORP		8.5.6	Heritage.....	8.12
8.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	8.1	8.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	8.14
8.1.1	Overview	8.1	8.6.1	Spatial Context.....	8.14

8.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	8.14	9.5.4	Social Facilities.....	9.9
8.6.3	Restructuring Zone.....	8.14	9.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	9.9
8.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	8.14	9.5.6	Heritage.....	9.11
9.	BOTRIVIER		9.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION.....	9.12
9.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	9.1	9.6.1	Spatial Context.....	9.12
9.1.1	Overview.....	9.1	9.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals.....	9.12
9.1.2	Historic Background.....	9.2	9.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	9.12
9.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	9.2	10.	RIVIERSONDEREND	
9.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	9.2	10.1	TOWN ANALYSIS.....	10.1
9.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	9.2	10.1.1	Overview.....	10.1
9.2	PROPOSALS.....	9.4	10.1.2	Historic Background.....	10.2
9.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	9.4	10.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	10.2
9.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	9.6	10.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	10.2
9.2.3	Urban Edge.....	9.7	10.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	10.2
9.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE.....	9.7	10.2	PROPOSALS.....	10.4
9.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC.....	9.7	10.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept.....	10.4
9.4.1	Industrial Development.....	9.7	10.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	10.6
9.4.2	Tourism.....	9.8	10.2.3	Urban Edge.....	10.7
9.4.3	Economic Development.....	9.8	10.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE.....	10.7
9.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	9.8	10.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC.....	10.8
9.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements.....	9.8	10.4.1	Industrial Development (Light Industry and Service Trade)	10.8
9.5.2	Residential.....	9.9	10.4.2	Tourism.....	10.8
9.5.3	Cemeteries	9.9	10.4.3	Economic Development.....	10.8

10.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	10.8	11.4.1	Industrial Development (Service Trade).....	11.9
10.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	10.8	11.4.2	Tourism	11.9
10.5.2	Residential.....	10.9	11.4.3	Economic Development.....	11.9
10.5.3	Cemeteries.....	10.9	11.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	11.10
10.5.4	Social Facilities.....	10.9	11.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	11.10
10.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land.....	10.9	11.5.2	Residential	11.10
10.5.6	Heritage.....	10.11	11.5.3	Cemeteries	11.10
10.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	10.12	11.5.4	Social Facilities	11.10
10.6.1	Spatial Context.....	10.12	11.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land	11.10
10.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	10.12	11.5.6	Heritage	11.11
11.	GREYTON		11.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	11.13
11.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	11.1	11.6.1	Spatial Context	11.13
11.1.1	Overview.....	11.1	11.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals	11.13
11.1.2	Historic Background.....	11.2	11.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	11.13
11.1.3	Settlement Analysis.....	11.2	12.	GENADENDAL, BEREAVILLE AND VOORSTEKRAAL	
11.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues.....	11.2	12.1	TOWN ANALYSIS	12.1
11.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints.....	11.2	12.1.1	Overview	12.1
11.2	PROPOSALS	11.5	12.1.2	Historic Background	12.2
11.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	11.5	12.1.3	Settlement Analysis	12.2
11.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	11.6	12.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	12.2
11.2.3	Urban Edge.....	11.7	12.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	12.2
11.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	11.8	12.2	PROPOSALS	12.5
11.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	11.9	12.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	12.5
			12.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	12.7

12.2.3	Urban Edges	12.8	13.1.4	Key Spatial Challenges/Issues	13.2
12.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	12.8	13.1.5	Development Informants and Constraints	13.2
12.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	12.9	13.2	PROPOSALS	13.4
12.4.1	Industrial Development	12.9	13.2.1	The Spatial Development Concept	13.4
12.4.2	Tourism	12.9	13.2.2	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	13.5
12.4.3	Economic Development	12.9	13.3	SECTOR STRATEGY: BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND AGRICULTURE	13.6
12.4.4	Agri-Hub Programme	12.9	13.4	SECTOR STRATEGY: SOCIO-ECONOMIC	13.6
12.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	12.9	13.4.1	Industrial Development	13.6
12.5.1	Population Growth and Land Use Requirements	12.10	13.4.2	Tourism Development	13.6
12.5.2	Residential	12.10	13.4.3	Economic Development	13.6
12.5.3	Cemeteries	12.10	13.5	SECTOR STRATEGY: BUILT ENVIRONMENT	13.6
12.5.4	Social Facilities	12.10	13.5.1	Heritage	13.6
12.5.5	Densification and Development of Vacant Land	12.10	13.6	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	13.7
12.5.6	Heritage	12.11			
12.6	SECTOR STRATEGY: INTEGRATION	12.13			
12.6.1	Spatial Context	12.13			
12.6.2	Spatial and Social Integration Proposals	12.13			
12.7	PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND SPECIAL STRATEGIC INTERVENTIONS AND SPATIAL PROJECTS	12.14			
13.	TESSELAARSDAL				
13.1	SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS	13.1			
13.1.1	Overview	13.1			
13.1.2	Historic Background	13.2			
13.1.3	Settlement Analysis	13.2			

PART 3: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK, CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK, MONITORING AND REVIEW

14.	IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK	
14.1	PURPOSE OF A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK	14.1
14.2	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS.....	14.3
14.3	INSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.....	14.3
14.4	ALIGNMENT OF SECTORAL PLANS.....	14.4
14.5	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK.....	14.5
14.5.1	Methodology.....	14.5
14.5.2	Socio-economic Profile: Municipal Wide.....	14.7
14.5.3	Functional Areas.....	14.8
14.5.4	Land Budget.....	14.14
14.5.5	Vision and Spatial Structure.....	14.14
14.5.6	Capital Revenue.....	14.16
14.5.7	Engineering Services and Social Facilities.....	14.16
14.5.8	Budget Gap/Surplus.....	14.19
14.5.9	Outstanding Information and Future Work.....	14.21
14.6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	14.21
15.	MONITORING AND REVIEW	

15.1	MONITORING.....	15.1
15.2	REVIEW OF THE TWKM SDF.....	15.1

ANNEXURE 1 : SETTLEMENT BASELINE ANALYSIS

1.	CALEDON	
1.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.1
1.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.1
1.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.2
1.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.2
1.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.2
1.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.2
1.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.3
1.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.4
1.2.4	Demographics	A.1.4
1.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.5
1.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.5
1.3.2	Social Facilities Distribution	A.1.6
1.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.6
1.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.7
1.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.8
1.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.8
2.	GRABOUW	
2.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.12
2.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.12

2.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.13	3.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.28
2.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.13	3.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.29
2.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.14	3.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.29
2.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.14	3.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.30
2.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.15	3.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.31
2.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.15	3.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.31
2.2.4	Demographics	A.1.16	4.	BOTRIVIER	
2.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.18	4.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.34
2.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.18	4.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.34
2.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.19	4.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.34
2.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.19	4.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.35
2.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.20	4.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.35
2.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.21	4.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.35
2.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.21	4.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.36
3.	VILLIERSDORP		4.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.36
3.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.24	4.2.4	Demographics	A.1.37
3.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.24	4.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.37
3.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.25	4.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.37
3.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.25	4.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.38
3.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.26	4.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.38
3.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.26	4.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.39
3.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.26	4.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.39
3.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.27	4.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.39
3.2.4	Demographics	A.1.27	5.	RIVIERSONDEREND	
3.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.28	5.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.42

5.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.42	6.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.54
5.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.43	6.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.54
5.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.43	6.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.55
5.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.44	6.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.55
5.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.44	6.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.56
5.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.44	6.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.56
5.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.45	6.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.56
5.2.4	Demographics	A.1.45	7.	GENADENDAL, BEREAVILLE AND VOORSTEKRAAL	
5.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.46	7.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.59
5.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.46	7.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.59
5.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.46	7.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.59
5.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.47	7.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.60
5.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.48	7.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.61
5.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.48	7.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.61
5.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.48	7.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.61
6.	GREYTON		7.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.62
6.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.51	7.2.4	Demographics	A.1.62
6.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.51	7.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.63
6.1.2	Environmental Risks	A.1.52	7.3.1	Movement Systems	A.1.63
6.1.3	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.52	7.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.63
6.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.52	7.3.3	Access to Schools	A.1.63
6.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.52	7.3.4	Access to Health Facilities	A.1.64
6.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.53	7.3.5	Cemeteries	A.1.65
6.2.3	Income Distribution	A.1.53	7.3.6	Engineering Infrastructure.....	A.1.65
6.2.4	Demographics	A.1.54			

8.	TESSELAARSDAL	
8.1	BIOPHYSICAL AND AGRICULTURAL ANALYSIS	A.1.68
8.1.1	Environmental Status Quo	A.1.68
8.1.2	Agricultural Status Quo	A.1.68
8.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	A.1.69
8.2.1	Economic Systems	A.1.69
8.2.2	Residential Patterns	A.1.69
8.3	BUILT ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	A.1.70
8.3.1	Movement Network	A.1.70
8.3.2	System of Social Facilities	A.1.70
8.3.3	Engineering Infrastructure	A.1.70

1.7	TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TWKM MUNICIPAL AREA, AFFORDABILITY ENVELOP AND FUNDING SURPLUS/GAP	A.2.22
------------	--	---------------

ANNEXURE 2 : CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK DATA

1.	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE DATA TABLES	
1.1	CONSOLIDATED PROJECT LIST	A.2.1
1.2	HOUSING NEED VERSUS YIELD	A.2.14
1.3	SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK: ENGINEERING COSTS TO SERVICE NEW DEVELOPMENT AREAS	A.2.14
1.4	REVENUE SOURCES	A.2.17
1.5	COMMUNITY FACILITIES COST	A.2.18
1.6	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS PER FUNCTIONAL AREA	A.2.19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Location of TWKM within the Provincial Context	Figure 6.1:	Location of Caledon within TWKM
Figure 1.2:	Organisational Structure	Figure 6.2:	Caledon: Combined Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 1.3:	Approach to the update of the Spatial Development Framework	Figure 6.3:	Caledon: Spatial Concept
Figure 2.1:	Core Elements of the IUDF (IUDF, 2016)	Figure 6.4:	Caledon: Local Precinct Plan (Central)
Figure 2.2:	Depiction of the Three Spatial Themes underlying the PSDF and their Associated Elements, supported by Spatial Governance (PSDF, 2014)	Figure 6.5:	Caledon: Local Precinct Plan (South)
Figure 2.3:	Inter- and Intra-Provincial Spatial Initiatives	Figure 6.6:	Caledon: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 2.4:	Overberg District SDF: Spatial Strategies (ODM SDF, 2022)	Figure 6.7:	Caledon: Heritage Precincts
Figure 2.5:	Overberg District SDF: Concept 1: The Natural Environment (ODM SDF, 2022)	Figure 6.8:	Myddleton: Heritage Precincts
Figure 2.6:	Overberg District SDF: Concept 2: Risks and Vulnerabilities (ODM SDF, 2022)	Figure 7.1:	Location of Grabouw within TWKM
Figure 2.7:	Overberg District SDF: Concept 3: Regional Connectivity (ODM SDF, 2022)	Figure 7.2:	Grabouw: Combined Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 2.8:	TWKM Five Thrusts and Priority Areas	Figure 7.3:	Grabouw: Spatial Concept
Figure 3.1:	Inter-relationship of the Three Spatial Themes	Figure 7.4:	Grabouw: Local Precinct Plan (Primary Economic Area)
Figure 3.2:	Intra-nodal Linkages from Priority Fixed Investment Nodes	Figure 7.5:	Grabouw: Local Precinct Plan (West)
Figure 4.1:	Distribution of Major Crops across TWKM	Figure 7.6:	Grabouw: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 5.1:	Overberg District Rural Development Plan	Figure 7.7:	Grabouw: Heritage Precincts
		Figure 8.1:	Location of Villiersdorp within TWKM
		Figure 8.2:	Villiersdorp: Summary of Opportunities and Constraints
		Figure 8.3:	Villiersdorp: Spatial Concept
		Figure 8.4:	Villiersdorp: Local Precinct Plan
		Figure 8.5:	Villiersdorp: Densification Planning Areas

Figure 8.6:	Villiersdorp: Heritage Precincts	Figure 12.4:	Voorstekraal: Spatial Concept
Figure 9.1:	Location of Botrivier within TWKM	Figure 12.5:	Bereaville: Spatial Concept
Figure 9.2:	Botrivier: Summary of Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 12.6:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Densification Planning Areas
Figure 9.3:	Botrivier: Spatial Concept	Figure 12.7:	Genadendal: Heritage Precincts
Figure 9.4:	Botrivier: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 12.8:	Bereaville: Heritage Precincts
Figure 9.5:	Botrivier: Heritage Precincts	Figure 12.9:	Voorstekraal: Heritage Precincts
Figure 10.1:	Location of Riviersonderend within TWKM	Figure 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal: Location of Tesselaarsdal within TWKM
Figure 10.2:	Riviersonderend: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 13.2:	Tesselaarsdal: Combined Opportunities and Constraints
Figure 10.3:	Riviersonderend: Spatial Concept	Figure 13.3:	Tesselaarsdal: Spatial Concept
Figure 10.4:	Riviersonderend: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 14.1:	Inter-relationship of a Capital Expenditure Framework
Figure 10.5:	Riviersonderend: Heritage Precincts	Figure 14.2:	Relationship between Infrastructure Needs, Available Capital Finance and the Affordability Envelope
Figure 11.1:	Location of Greyton within TWKM	Figure 14.3:	Capital Expenditure Framework Process
Figure 11.2:	Greyton: Combined Opportunities and Constraints	Figure 14.4:	Inter-relationship between Municipal Departments
Figure 11.3:	Greyton: Spatial Concept	Figure 14.5:	Informal Dwellings compared to Formal Dwellings per Functional Area (2023)
Figure 11.4:	Greyton: Densification Planning Areas	Figure 14.6:	TWKM Area: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 11.5:	Greyton: Heritage Precincts	Figure 14.7:	Caledon: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period
Figure 12.1:	Location of Genadendal within TWKM	Figure 14.8:	Grabouw: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the
Figure 12.2:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Combined Opportunities and Constraints		
Figure 12.3:	Genadendal: Spatial Concept		

	10-year Period	
Figure 14.9:	Villiersdorp: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.8: Caledon: Gateways and Access Points
Figure 14.10:	Botrivier: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.9: Caledon: Taxi Routes
Figure 14.11:	Riviersonderend: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.10: Caledon: Social Facilities
Figure 14.12:	Greyton: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.11: Caledon: Walking Distances to Primary Schools
Figure 14.13:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.12: Caledon: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools
Figure 14.14:	Rural Hinterland including Tesselaarsdal: Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the 10-year Period	Figure A1.1.13: Caledon: Walking Distances to Clinics
Figure 14.15:	TKWM Budget Shortfall for the 10-year Period.	Figure A1.1.14: Caledon: Walking Distances to Hospitals
Figure A1.1.1:	Caledon: River Corridors and Wetlands	Figure A1.1.15: Caledon: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints
Figure A1.1.2:	Caledon: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas	Figure A1.2.1: Grabouw: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.1.3:	Caledon: Summary of Environmental Risks	Figure A1.2.2: Grabouw: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas
Figure A1.1.4:	Caledon: Main Locally Produced Crops	Figure A1.2.3: Grabouw: Summary of Environmental Risks
Figure A1.1.5:	Caledon: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity	Figure A1.2.4: Grabouw: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.1.6:	Caledon: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments	Figure A1.2.5: Grabouw: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity
Figure A1.1.7:	Caledon: Household Density versus Household Income	Figure A1.2.6: Grabouw: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments
		Figure A1.2.7: Grabouw: Household Density versus Household Income
		Figure A1.2.8: Grabouw: Aerial Photography illustrating the Growth of the Informal Settlements
		Figure A1.2.9: Grabouw: Gateways and Access Points
		Figure A1.2.10: Grabouw: Taxi Routes
		Figure A1.2.11: Grabouw: Social Facilities

Figure A1.2.12: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Primary Schools	Figure A1.4.2: Botrivier: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.2.13: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools	Figure A1.4.3: Botrivier: Summary of Environmental Risks
Figure A1.2.14: Grabouw: Walking Distances to Clinics	Figure A1.4.4: Botrivier: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.2.15: Grabouw: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints	Figure A1.4.5: Botrivier: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity
Figure A1.3.1: Villiersdorp: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas	Figure A1.4.6: Botrivier: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments
Figure A1.3.2: Villiersdorp: River Corridors and Wetlands	Figure A1.4.7: Botrivier: Household Density versus Household Income
Figure A1.3.3: Villiersdorp: Summary of Environmental Risks	Figure A1.4.8: Botrivier: Gateways and Access Points
Figure A1.3.4: Villiersdorp: Main Locally Produced Crops	Figure A1.4.9: Botrivier: Social Facilities
Figure A1.3.5: Villiersdorp: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity	Figure A1.4.10: Botrivier: Walking Distances to Primary Schools
Figure A1.3.6: Villiersdorp: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments	Figure A1.4.11: Botrivier: Walking Distances to Clinics
Figure A1.3.7: Villiersdorp: Household Density versus Household Income	Figure A1.4.12: Botrivier: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints
Figure A1.3.8: Villiersdorp: Gateways and Access Points	Figure A1.5.1: Riviersonderend: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.3.9: Villiersdorp: Taxi Routes	Figure A1.5.2: Riviersonderend: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas
Figure A1.3.10: Villiersdorp: Social Facilities	Figure A1.5.3: Riviersonderend: Summary of Environmental Risks
Figure A1.3.11: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Primary Schools	Figure A1.5.4: Riviersonderend: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.3.12: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools	Figure A1.5.5: Riviersonderend: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity
Figure A1.3.13: Villiersdorp: Walking Distances to Mobile Clinics	Figure A1.5.6: Riviersonderend: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments
Figure A1.3.14: Villiersdorp: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints	
Figure A1.4.1: Botrivier: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas	

Figure A1.5.7: Riviersonderend: Household Density versus Household Income	Figure A1.7.1: Farm 39: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.5.8: Riviersonderend: Gateways and Access Points	Figure A1.7.2: Farm 39: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas
Figure A1.5.9: Riviersonderend: Social Facilities	Figure A1.7.3: Farm 39: Summary of Environmental Risks
Figure A1.5.10: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Primary Schools	Figure A1.7.4: Farm 39: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.5.11: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools	Figure A1.7.5: Farm 39: Concentration of Economic and Industrial Activity
Figure A1.5.12: Riviersonderend: Walking Distances to Clinics	Figure A1.7.6: Farm 39: Planned Residential Developments
Figure A1.5.13: Riviersonderend: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints	Figure A1.7.7: Farm 39: Household Density versus Household Income
Figure A1.6.1: Greyton: River Corridors and Wetlands	Figure A1.7.8: Farm 39: Gateways and Access Points
Figure A1.6.2: Greyton: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas	Figure A1.7.9: Farm 39: Social Facilities
Figure A1.6.3: Greyton: Summary of Environmental Risks	Figure A1.7.10: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Primary Schools
Figure A1.6.4: Greyton: Main Locally Produced Crops	Figure A1.7.11: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Secondary Schools
Figure A1.6.5: Greyton: Concentration of Economic Activity	Figure A1.7.12: Farm 39: Walking Distances to Clinics
Figure A1.6.6: Greyton: Existing, Approved and Planned Residential Developments	Figure A1.7.13: Farm 39: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints
Figure A1.6.7: Greyton: Household Density versus Household Income	Figure A1.8.1: Tesselaarsdal: River Corridors and Wetlands
Figure A1.6.8: Greyton: Gateways and Access Points	Figure A1.8.2: Tesselaarsdal: Core Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas
Figure A1.6.9: Greyton: Social Facilities	Figure A1.8.3: Tesselaarsdal: Main Locally Produced Crops
Figure A1.6.10: Greyton: Walking Distances to Primary Schools	Figure A1.8.4: Tesselaarsdal: Existing Low Income Residential Developments
Figure A1.6.11: Greyton: Walking Distances to Independent Schools	Figure A1.8.5: Tesselaarsdal: Gateways and Access Points
Figure A1.6.12: Greyton: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints	Figure A1.8.6: Tesselaarsdal: Social Facilities

Figure A1.8.7: Tesselaarsdal: Summary of Infrastructure Constraints

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Competitive and Economic Advantages of Towns	Table 4.17:	Traffic Volumes on Provincial Roads
Table 4.1:	Spatial Themes and Analysis Categories	Table 4.18:	Description of Modes of Public Transport Services in TWKM
Table 4.2:	Alignment of Spatial Planning Categories with Biodiversity Areas	Table 4.19:	Destination Points of Taxi Services
Table 4.3:	Biodiversity Area Categories Management Objectives	Table 4.20:	Main Mode of Transport to Work
Table 4.4:	Annual Dam Levels in and Around TWKM (2018 – 2022)	Table 4.21:	Settlements, Population Size and Classification
Table 4.5:	Crop Classes and Related Hectarage	Table 4.22:	Settlements and Priority Ranking in terms of Growth Potential and Socio-Economic Need
Table 4.6:	Theewaterskloof GDPR Performance per Sector, 2011 – 2022	Table 4.23:	TWKM Settlement Classification
Table 4.7:	GDPR Contribution and Average Growth Rates in relation to the District and Province (2020)	Table 4.24:	TWKM Housing Need (2023)
Table 4.8:	OBD and TWKM Employment per Sector (2020)	Table 4.25:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Caledon
Table 4.9:	TWKM Employment Growth per Sector, 2011 – 2020	Table 4.26:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Grabouw
Table 4.10:	Unemployment Rates, 2016 – 2021 (%)	Table 4.27:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Villiersdorp
Table 4.11:	Population Statistics and Projections	Table 4.28:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Botrivier
Table 4.12:	Household Income	Table 4.29:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Riviersonderend
Table 4.13:	Individual Taxpayers	Table 4.30:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Greyton
Table 4.14:	Proportion of Individuals below the UBPL	Table 4.31:	TWKM Housing Pipeline (2023): Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal
Table 4.15:	Education Levels of Population in TWKM in relation to the OBD (2018)	Table 5.1:	Rural Land Uses Categories, Guidelines and Objectives
Table 4.16:	Traffic Volumes along the N2	Table 5.2:	Proposed Rural Land Uses Categories and Preferred Location
		Table 5.3:	RDA 1: Vyeboom and Environs
		Table 5.4:	RDA 2: Viljoenshoop Valley and Environs

Table 5.5:	RDA 3: Salandra and Environs	Table 12.1:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal Screenshot
Table 5.6:	RDA 4: Theewaterskloof Dam and Environs	Table 12.2:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Projected Land Requirements
Table 6.1:	Caledon Screenshot	Table 12.3:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Densification Guidelines
Table 6.2:	Caledon: Projected Land Requirements	Table 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal Screenshot
Table 6.3:	Caledon: Densification Guidelines	Table 13.2:	Tesselaarsdal: Projected Land Requirements
Table 7.1:	Grabouw Screenshot	Table 14.1:	TWKM Sector Plans, their Status and SDF Implication
Table 7.2:	Grabouw: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.2:	COGTA CEF Guidelines: 10 Steps
Table 7.3:	Grabouw: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.3:	TWKM: Socio-economic Profile
Table 8.1:	Villiersdorp Screenshot	Table 14.4:	Functional Area 1: Caledon and Myddleton (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 8.2:	Villiersdorp: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.5:	Functional Area 2: Grabouw (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 8.3:	Villiersdorp: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.6:	Functional Area 3: Villiersdorp (Priority Development Area): Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.1:	Botrivier Screenshot	Table 14.7:	Functional Area 4: Botrivier: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.2:	Botrivier: Projected Land Requirements	Table 14.8:	Functional Area 5: Riviersonderend: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 9.3:	Botrivier: Densification Guidelines	Table 14.9:	Functional Area 6: Greyton: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 10.1:	Riviersonderend Screenshot	Table 14.10:	Functional Area 7: Genadendal: Demographic and Economic Profile
Table 10.2:	Riviersonderend: Projected Land Requirements		
Table 10.3:	Riviersonderend: Densification Guidelines		
Table 11.1:	Greyton Screenshot		
Table 11.2:	Greyton: Projected Land Requirements		
Table 11.3:	Greyton: Densification Guidelines		

Table 14.11:	Functional Area 8: Rural Hinterland (including Tesselaarsdal): Demographic and Economic Profile	(2023/2024 – 2032/2033)	
Table 14.12:	SDF Proposals: Land Budget per Functional Area	Table A2.7:	Grabouw: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table 14.13:	TWKM Revenue Sources for the Total 10-Year Period	Table A2.8:	Villiersdorp: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table 14.14:	Cost of Total TWKM Required Engineering and Social Infrastructure for the Total 10-Year Period	Table A2.9:	Botrivier: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table 14.15:	Capital Expenditure, Engineering and Social Infrastructure Costs and Funding Gaps	Table A2.10:	Riviersonderend: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table A1.1.1:	Caledon: Housing Need	Table A2.11:	Greyton: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table A1.2.1:	Grabouw: Housing Need	Table A2.12:	Genadendal, Bereaville and Voorstekraal: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table A1.3.1:	Villiersdorp: Housing Need	Table A2.13:	Rural Hinterland including Tesselaarsdal: Capital Expenditure Requirements (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table A1.4.1:	Botrivier: Housing Need	Table A2.14:	Total TWKM Municipal Area: Capital Expenditure Requirements, Affordability Envelop and Funding Surplus/Gap (2023/2024 – 2032/2033)
Table A1.5.1:	Riviersonderend: Housing Need		
Table A1.6.1:	Greyton: Housing Need		
Table A2.1:	Consolidated Project List		
Table A2.2:	Housing Demand versus Yield		
Table A2.3:	Spatial Development Framework: Engineering Costs to service New Development Areas		
Table A2.4:	Revenue Sources		
Table A.2.5	Community Facilities: Requirement per Functional Area and Estimated Cost		
Table A2.6:	Caledon and Myddleton: Capital Expenditure Requirements		

LIST OF PLANS

Plan 1.1:	Local Context	Plan 12.2:	Bereaville and Voorstekraal Proposals
Plan 3.1:	Regional Spatial Development Concept	Plan 13.1:	Tesselaarsdal Proposals
Plan 3.2:	Local Spatial Development Concept		
Plan 4.1:	Critical Biodiversity Areas		
Plan 4.2:	Spatial Planning Categories		
Plan 4.3:	Tourism Strategy		
Plan 4.4:	Transportation Network		
Plan 5.1:	Rural Land Use Development		
Plan 5.2:	RDA 1: Vyeboom and Environs		
Plan 5.3:	RDA 2: Viljoenshoop Valley Road and Environs and RDA 3: Salandra and Environs		
Plan 5.4:	RDA 4: Theewaterskloof Dam and Environs		
Plan 6.1:	Caledon Proposals		
Plan 7.1:	Grabouw Proposals		
Plan 8.1:	Villiersdorp Proposals		
Plan 9.1:	Botrivier Proposals		
Plan 10.1:	Riviersonderend Proposals		
Plan 11.1:	Greyton Proposals		
Plan 12.1:	Genadendal Proposals		

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AA DT	Average Annual Daily Traffic	ITP	Integrated Transportation Plan
AA DTT	Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic	IUDF	Integrated Urban Development Framework
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area	IUDG	Integrated Urban Development Grant
CEF	Capital Expenditure Framework	IWMP	Integrated Waste Management Plan
COGTA	Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs	KUR	Kapitale Uitgawe Raamwerk
CRDP	Comprehensive Rural Development Programme	LTFP	Longterm Financial Plan
D:EA&DP	Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning	LUPA	Land Use Planning Act, Act 3 of 2014
DoHS	Department of Human Settlements	MBT	Minibus Taxi
ESA	Ecological Support Area	MERO	Municipal Economic Review and Outlook
FPSU	Farmer Production Support Unit	MSA	Municipal Systems Act, Act 32 of 2000
GCM	Greater Cape Metro	MTREF	Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework
GDPR	Gross Domestic Product per Region	MYPE	Mid-year Population Estimate
IDP	Integrated Development Plan	NDP	National Development Plan 2030
GPS	Growth Potential of Towns Study	NSDF	National Spatial Development Framework
HSP	Human Settlements Plan	NMT	Non-motorised Transport
ICM	Intermediate City Municipality	OBD	Overberg District
		ODM	Overberg District Municipality
		PSDF	Provincial Spatial Development Framework
		RDA	Rural Development Area
		RSIF	Regional Spatial Implementation Framework

SDF	Spatial Development Framework
SEP	Socio-economic Profile
SPC	Spatial Planning Category
SPLUMA	Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, Act 16 of 2013
TWKM	Theewaterskloof Municipality
UBPL	Upper-bound Poverty Line
UDSC	Urban Dynamics South Cape
WTW	Water Treatment Works
WWTW	Wastewater Treatment Works